RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENTS AND

SECOND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Attorney Docket No.: Q92245

U.S. Application No.: 10/561,973

REMARKS

This responds to the Restriction and Election of Species Requirement dated January 29,

2009.

Response to Restriction Requirement

In response to the Restriction Requirement, Applicants elect for examination Group V.

claims 14-18 and 23 - 27. In the Requirement, the Office identifies claims 1-18 as

encompassing the invention of Group V. In the accompanying amendment, Claims 23-25 are

added and claim 14 is amended to refer to claim 23, which recites elected group of compounds.

Claims 23-25 may be supported by original claims 1-13 as well as by the description of

preferable groups, disclosed, for example, at page 17, second paragraph and after of the

specification. Claim 25 is supported by the disclosure of the specification, Examples 16 and 17

as well as original claim 13.

The above election is made without traverse

Applicant submits that any of the elected claims is found to be allowable, claims

dependent therefrom or claims contains all the limitations of such allowable claims, such as

claim 21 should similarly be considered allowable in the same application.

Applicant reserves the right to file a Divisional Application directed to non-elected

inventions.

15

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENTS AND

SECOND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Attorney Docket No.: Q92245

U.S. Application No.: 10/561,973

Response to Species Election Requirement

This responds to the Election of Species Requirement dated January 29, 2009. The

Examiner has identified the application as containing claims directed to more than one distinct

species. The Examiner has required the Applicants to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted.

In response to the Examiner's requirement, Applicants elect for examination 2-acetyl-1-

(3-fluorophenyl)-1,2,3,9-tetrahydrospiro[β-carboline-4,1'-cyclopropane] described in

Example 17:

Thy ch.

, on which 23-25 and 14-18 are readable.

In the Requirement, the Examiner indicates that the Group V may be subject to further

restriction. Applicants respectfully submit that the invention of Group V complies all

requirements of unity of the invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2.

The elected inventive compounds share a significant structural feature, a cycloalkyl

which forms spiro binding with β-carboline ring (which corresponds to a ring formed by R<sup>4</sup> with

R<sup>5</sup>), among species of the Group. Such structural feature is not taught by Halshan, J. Med.

Chem. 2000, page 1578 cited by the Examiner in the Restriction. Therefore, it is believed that

16

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION AND ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENTS AND

SECOND PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Attorney Docket No.: Q92245

U.S. Application No.: 10/561,973

the species of elected Group V shares a structural feature common to the species, and the

compounds are not taught in art, the elected group V should not be further restricted.

Furthermore, Applicant submits that if the elected compound is free from the prior art,

then the remaining non-elected species of Group V also be examined.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/Sunhee Lee/

Sunhee Lee

Registration No. 53,892

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060 Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON DC SUGHRUE/265550
65565
CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 2, 2009